Beyond the Lipid-lowering Effects of Statins: Renal Effects
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ABSTRACT

Nowadays statins, 3 hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A (HMG CoA) reductase enzyme inhibitors, are used in the treatment of hyperlipidemia. Statins have been shown to decrease cardiovascular mortality and morbidity in both coronary artery disease and peripheral arterial disease. Besides their lipid-lowering effects, statins have pleiotropic effects such as improvement of endothelial dysfunction, atherosclerotic plaques stabilization, oxidative stress inhibition, anti-inflammatory, and antithrombogenic effects. Some clinical trials have revealed that statin therapy improved renal function. On the other hand, statins have been shown to have no beneficial effects in many studies in renal function. In this review, we aimed to evaluate the effects of statins on renal function.
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ÖZET

Statin 3-hidroksi-3-metilglutaril CoA (HMG CoA) redüktaz enzim inhibitörü olarak günümüzde hiperlipidemi tedavisinde yaygın olarak kullanılmaktadır. Özellikle koroner arter hastalığı ve periferik arter hastalığında morbide ve mortaliteyi azalttığı gösterilmiştir. Aynı zamanda aterosklerotik plak stabilizasyonu, endotel fonksiyonunu iyileştirme, oksidatif stres, inflamasyon ve tromboza yanıtın inhibisyonu gibi pleiotropik etkileri de bulunmaktadır. Statinin kanıtlanmış birçok yararlı etkinin olmasının yanında, özellikle böbrek fonksiyonları üzerine etkisi ve bu nedenle kronik börek yetersizliği ve akut börek yetersizliği, kontrastla bağlı nefropati ile ilişkilerini araştırmalarda inceleyerek statinin böbrek fonksiyonları üzerine etkisi de değerlendirilmeye çalıştır.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Statin; kronik börek yetersizliği; akut börek yetersizliği; kontrastla bağlı nefropati

In many randomized controlled trials, significant beneficial effects of statins in cardiovascular disease have been shown, along with various beneficial effects on other organ systems (1,2). It is well known that statins inhibit of 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A (HMG CoA) reductase enzyme and show decreasing effect on cholesterol synthesis (3). Beyond the cholesterol-lowering effect of statins, there are other effects, which are known as pleiotropic effects. These effects are explained by suppression of various molecules in cholesterol biosynthesis pathways. In addition to this, their properties are thought to arise by inhibiting the synthesis of isoprenoids intermediator (4). These intermediators appear to play key roles on posttranslational modification of proteins to various intracellular, cell growth, and in signal transduction (5).

There is not a clear mechanism to explain of renoprotective effects of statins, but different pathophysiological mechanisms have been proposed. In a study, it was shown that the decline of renal function may have been related with dyslipidemia. Statins decrease hypertension-related renal damage and proteinuria, independently of cholesterol or blood pressure values in an experimental study (6). Some studies have demonstrated the role of lipids decrease of renal function with glomerulosclerosis (7,8). Statins have a protective effect on renal function by decreasing lipid-related glomerulosclerosis (9). Another mechanism the early increase in creatinine clearance is related to an effect of statin treatment on endothelium-related vasodilatation by improving endothelial function, leading to increased renal perfusion (10).
PELIOTROPIC EFFECTS of STATINS

Statins are used primarily for lipid-lowering effects in cardiovascular diseases. At the same time, there are pleiotropic effects of statins such as corrective endothelial function, stabilizing atherosclerotic plaques and inhibiting oxidative stress, inflammation, and thrombogenic responses (10) (Table 1).

One of the important features of statins is the anti-inflammatory effect that its pathophysiology is unknown but are tried to explain by several mechanisms. Some of these mechanisms are that statins decrease inflammatory responses by binding to specific regulatory regions such as β2 integrin and leukocyte function antigen-1 and connected to the statin treatment proinflammatory cytokines (IL-1β and TNF-α) and the C-reactive protein (CRP), which is produced in response to proinflammatory cytokines is decreasing (11-13).

Immunomodulatory effects of statins are that interferon-β-induced expressions of MHC Class II decrease, increase the inhibition of leukocyte function antigen-1, decrease T cell activation, and decrease activation of monocytes (14-16). In addition, studies so far have shown that several mechanisms statins inhibit the formation of oxygen free radicals and decrease oxidative stress (17).

Statins show the healing effects of endothelial function by increasing nitric oxide (NO) release and decreasing endothelin-1 synthesis (18). In addition, it is reported that statins accelerate endothelialization by increasing the number of circulating endothelial progenitor cells, increasing the residence time in circulation and increasing movement of endothelial progenitor cells from the bone marrow (19). Another possible mechanism of statins is the positive antioxidant effect on endothelial function (20).

Statins regulator angiogenesis. But are also known to create inhibitory action on angiogenesis in high doses of these drugs. In addition, statins cause significant reduction in thrombotic events including major cerebral ischemia and stroke risk with increased fibrinolytic extracellular activity; decrease expression of tissue factor, and decrease platelet activation (21,22).

Beyond their lipid-lowering effects of statins are also known to lead to downregulation in the angiotensin receptors, decrease endothelin synthesis, and cause vasodilatation. Statins are also known to correct the endothelial dysfunction by rapidly increasing the nitric oxide level. Statins are known to have a rapid onset of antioxidant efficacy after the initiation of treatment and decrease inflammation by inhibiting the synthesis of the proinflammatory mediators. They decrease the reactive oxygen radicals and may be beneficial in nephropathy. Given all these physiological effects of statins may have beneficial effects in preventing nephropathy (23).

EFFECTS of STATINS in NORMAL RENAL FUNCTION

It has been shown in studies that there is a protective effect of statins on renal function not only in patients with kidney disease but also in individuals with normal renal function. In the study by Greek Atorvastatin and Coronary Heart Evaluation (GREACE), patients with coronary artery disease and normal creatinine levels found that atorvastatin use significantly increases creatinine clearance compared to untreated dyslipidemia group. In this study, patients with dyslipidemia and coronary heart disease who have normal baseline renal function show a decline in creatinine clearance over time. Long-term statin treatment significantly increases creatinine clearance. This beneficial effects are related by improve endothelium-related vasodilation and decrease lipid-related glomerulosclerosis (6).

In studies, it was revealed that statins have no protective effects on renal function: Baigent et al. on simvastatin (24), Asselberg et al. on pravastatin (25), Lemas et al. on fluvastatin (26), and Ridker et al. on rosuvastatin (secondary analysis of the JUPITER study) (27). In addition, Athobari et al., using data from the Prevention of Renal and Vascular End-stage Disease Intervention trial (PREVEND-IT) and the PREVEND, have shown that pravastatin has no effect on albuminuria and GFR (28). In addition, Collins et al, found negative effects from simvastatin on renal function in their study of simvastatin’s effects on heart protection (29).

However, it should be pointed out that the studies done to date have not been sufficient to reach a definite conclusion because of the following factors: (a) homogenization of patients has not been maintained in these studies; (b) the results obtained in different studies have yet to be analyzed retrospectively.

There is as yet no full clarification of the renoprotective effects of statins, as a number of different factors are involved in the development of nephropathy and different pathophysiological mechanisms coexist.

EFFECTS OF STATINS in CHRONIC RENAL FAILURE

Chronic renal disease (CKD) is associated with cardiovascular risk factors. The prevalence of dyslipidemia is higher in patients with CKD than in the general population, and dyslipidemia is associated with renal dysfunction (29). Albuminuria is one of the most important early indicators of renal damage and is an
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indicator of endothelial dysfunction. It is clear that progress in proteinuria and permanent renal damage is corrected by early treatment of albuminuria patients. There are numerous studies that examine the relationship between albuminuria and use of statins.

Studies by Inoue et al. showed the antioxidant effect of fluvastatin to decrease urinary albumin excretion. Various studies have shown that statins decrease albuminuria and provide renoprotection by multiple mechanisms such as increased NO release, improved endothelial function, decreased oxidative stress, and protection from oxidative damage and the effect of lipid-lowering. Proteinuria is one of the indicators of renal disease. When proteinuria increases, chronic kidney damage increases and GFR declines more rapidly. Furthermore, when proteinuria decreases, CKD progression is shown to slow down.

In the Prospective Evaluation of Proteinuria and Renal Function in Diabetic Patients with Progressive Renal Disease-I (PLANET-I) and PLANET-II studies, the effect on renal function and urinary protein excretion of atorvastatin 80 mg and rosvastatin 10 and 40 mg was evaluated. In the PLANET-I it was shown that although atorvastatin significantly decreases proteinuria, rosvastatin showed no significant effect on proteinuria. In the PLANET-II it was also shown that atorvastatin significantly decreases proteinuria. At the same time Sandhu et al. in a meta-analysis of 39,704 participants, the treatment of cholesterol-lowering with different statins decreased the effect of proteinuria and protected kidney function was shown. Another meta-analysis found a significant reduction in 24-h urinary protein excretion (g/24 h) in chronic kidney disease (pre-dialysis) patients receiving different statins compared with placebo. In the light of these results, we believe that the statins may show a protective effect on kidney function.

Studies of patients with chronic renal disease have been shown to increase evidence of oxidative stress and inflammation compared to healthy subjects. Statins antioxidant and anti-inflammatory effect can contribute to improvement in renal function. In a study by Inoue et al., it was shown that fluvastatin decreases glomerular and tubular damage by antioxidant effect.

Dyslipidemia may cause deterioration in renal function with changes to vascular structures as well as direct damage glomeruli and tubulointerstitial areas. Although the underlying pathophysiological mechanism is not fully understood, there is increased data showing that lipid-induced oxidative stress on the glomeruli and tubulointerstitial areas could contribute to the damages. Furthermore it is also shown in animal studies that lipid accumulation occurs in the glomerulus and proximal tubules; intracellular lipid accumulation causes renal injury; hypercholesterolemia and hypertriglyceridemia are associated with severe podocyte injury, which secondarily leads to mesangial sclerosis. The renoprotective effects of statins seem to provide both lipid-lowering and pleiotropic effects.

The effects of statins on creatinine and GFR were also examined by some studies. The results of 27 randomized trials, (a total of 39,704 cases), were shown to inhibit a reduction (approximately 1.2 mL/min) in renal dysfunctions for each year mentioned.

Available data from post hoc analyses of statin trials provide evidence for the beneficial effects of statin therapy on cardiovascular disease outcomes in patients with stages 2 and 3 of chronic kidney disease. The Pravastatin Pooling Project included 19,737 subjects with a median follow-up of 64 months. The benefit was most marked in subjects with both chronic kidney disease and diabetes. Notably there was also a significant reduction in the risk of all-cause mortality.

Some important studies evaluating the effects of statins on kidneys are summarized in Table 2.

**EFFECTS of STATINS in ACUTE RENAL FAILURE**

Although studies have shown that statins may have a protective effect on the renal function if used postoperatively in cases and after intravenous administration of contrast agents. The study by Dormuth et al. showed that high-potency statins (at least 10 mg rosvastatin, at least 20 mg of atorvastatin, and at least 40 mg of simvastatin) more than low-potency statins caused acute kidney damage. In the study by Corrao et al., within 6 months after the start of treatment, administration of high-potency statins (at least 10 mg rosvastatin, at least 20 mg of atorvastatin, and at least 40 mg of simvastatin) patients were shown to develop acute kidney damage more than patients being given low-potency statins.

In an analysis rosvastatin was significantly more likely to be associated with the composite endpoint of rhabdomyolysis, proteinuria, nephropathy, or renal failure. Otherwise in the JUPITER study, compared to a placebo, there were no significant differences renal injury. Total numbers of reported serious adverse events were similar in the 20 mg rosvastatin and placebo.

High-potency statins are more at risk of developing rhabdomyolysis. Therefore, the high-potency statin group has a greater risk of developing acute kidney damage. Another mechanism suggested is that statins inhibit the production of co-enzyme Q-10. As shown in study by Corrao et al, 28 days of co-enzyme Q use improves renal function. Although studies have shown the relationship between high-potency statins and acute kidney injury, there has been no evidence that high-potency statins are implicated in the onset of chronic kidney injury. When the clear benefits of statins are considered, a low dose should be used where possible to avoid renal injury, which may develop in the early stage and in cases where a high dose is necessary, there must be close monitoring. Rosuvastatin, which has higher potency than other statins but entails a greater risk of renal damage, should not be the first preference.
## Table 2. The effects of statins on the kidneys

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Intervention (statin), Dose (mg/day)</th>
<th>Follow-up (month)</th>
<th>Patient population</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Overview of renal outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GREACE Subgroup analysis (19)</td>
<td>Atorvastatin 10 vs. 80 mg/day or usual medical care</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1600 patients with dyslipidemia and CAD</td>
<td>Rate of kidney function decline</td>
<td>CrCl had a 12% increase in atorvastatin group (p&lt; 0.001) CrCl had a 5.2% decrease in patients not treated with statins (p&lt; 0.001) CrCl had a 4.9% increase in the usual care group on various statins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baigent C. Subgroup analysis (20)</td>
<td>Patients were randomly assigned to simvastatin 20 mg plus ezetimibe 10 mg daily versus matching placebo</td>
<td>48-108</td>
<td>Randomized double-blind trial included 9270 patients with chronic kidney disease (3023 on dialysis and 6247 not) with no known history of myocardial infarction or coronary revascularization</td>
<td>The key prespecified outcome was first major atherosclerotic event</td>
<td>Statins have no protective effects on renal function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asselbergs FW Subgroup analysis (21)</td>
<td>Pravastatin 40 mg/daily</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>864 patients were randomized to fosinopril 20 mg or matching placebo and to pravastatin 40 mg or matching placebo</td>
<td>Primary end point was cardiovascular mortality and hospitalization for cardiovascular morbidity</td>
<td>Pravastatin did not decrease urinary albumin excretion, and subjects treated with pravastatin showed a 13% lower incidence of the primary end point than subjects in the placebo group (0.87 [0.49 to 1.57], p= 0.649, log-rank)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lemas PA (22)</td>
<td>Fluvastatin 80 mg/daily</td>
<td>36-48</td>
<td>Complete data for creatinine clearance calculation were available for 1,558 patients</td>
<td>Patients were randomized to fluvastatin or placebo after successful completion of a first PCR</td>
<td>The benefit of fluvastatin was unrelated to any effect on renal function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUPITER-secondary analysis (23)</td>
<td>Rosuvastatin 20 mg/daily</td>
<td>Median follow-up was 22.8 months</td>
<td>Among those with moderate chronic kidney disease at study entry (n= 3,267) with those with baseline eGFR &gt; or= 60 mL/min/1.73 m² (n= 14,528)</td>
<td>Performed a secondary analysis comparing cardiovascular and mortality outcomes</td>
<td>Median eGFR at 12 months was marginally improved among those allocated to rosuvastatin as compared with placebo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PREVEN-IT (24)</td>
<td>Pravastatin 40 mg/daily</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Consisted of 864 participants and 839 survivors</td>
<td>The primary endpoint determined by the combined incidence of cardiovascular mortality and hospitalization for cardiovascular morbidity was registered in several national databases and electronic hospital systems</td>
<td>Subjects originally assigned to pravastatin had no overall risk reduction in the primary end point (p= 0.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Intervention (statin), Dose (mg/day)</td>
<td>Follow-up (month)</td>
<td>Patient population</td>
<td>Outcomes</td>
<td>Overview of renal outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluvastatin renal Evaluation trial (FRET)(^{(26)})</td>
<td>Fluvastatin 10 mg/ daily, 20 mg/daily or 30 mg/daily</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>In 43 dyslipidemic patients with chronic kidney disease</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Fluvastatin decreases both UAE and the urinary L-FABP level, and thus, has renoprotective effects, independent of its lipid-lowering effects in dyslipidemic patients with chronic kidney disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandhu et al(^{(32)}) Meta-analysis</td>
<td>Different statins</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27 studies (21 with data for GFR), 39,704 participants</td>
<td>Change in GFR</td>
<td>Statins slowed the loss of GFR by a mean of 1.22 mL/min/year; 95% CI: 0.44-2.00. In studies of CVD, 0.93 mL/min per year slower than control subjects (95% CI: 0.10-1.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLANET-I (^{(37)}) Randomized double-blind, multicenter trial</td>
<td>Rosuvastatin 10 mg/ day or rosuvastatin 40 mg/day versus atorvastatin 80 mg/ day</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>325 patients with diabetes who had proteinuria and hypercholesterolemia</td>
<td>Change in urinary protein excretion (urinary protein/creatinine ratio)</td>
<td>Atorvastatin significantly reduced proteinuria by about 15% rosuvastatin had no significant effect on proteinuria. Atorvastatin 80 mg lowered UPCR (urine protein:creatinine ratio) significantly more than did rosuvastatin 10 mg (-15.6%, 95% CI -28.3 to -0.5; p=0.043) and rosuvastatin 40 mg (-18.2%, -30.2 to -4.2; p=0.013).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLANET II (^{(30)}) Randomized double-blind, multicenter trial</td>
<td>Rosuvastatin 10 mg/ day or rosuvastatin 40 mg/day versus atorvastatin 80 mg/ day</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>220 patients without diabetes who had proteinuria and hypercholesterolemia</td>
<td>Change in urinary protein excretion (urinary protein/creatinine ratio)</td>
<td>Atorvastatin reduced proteinuria by 23.8% (p=0.0056) Significant decline in GFR with rosuvastatin. No significant difference in the amount of lipid lowering was reported among the treatment groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALLIANCE (^{(62)}) Post hoc subgroup analysis</td>
<td>Atorvastatin 10-80 mg/day or usual medical care</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2,442 patients with dyslipidemia</td>
<td>Rate of kidney function decline</td>
<td>CrCl did not change in the atorvastatin group versus baseline CrCl declined by 4.4% in the usual care group (versus baseline)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARE (^{(63)}) Post hoc subgroup analysis</td>
<td>Pravastatin 40 mg/day versus placebo</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>3,384 individuals of whom 690 (20.4%) had GFR &lt; 60 mL/min per 1.73 m(^2)</td>
<td>Change in GFR</td>
<td>The decline in the pravastatin group versus placebo was nonsignificant In patients with GFR &lt; 40 mL/min per 1.73 m(^2), the rate of change in the pravastatin versus the placebo group was 2.5 mL/min per 1.73 m(^2)/year slower (95% CI: 1.4-3.6;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHARP (^{(64)}) Randomized double-blind, multicenter trial</td>
<td>Ezetimibe 10 mg/day + simvastatin 20 mg/day versus placebo versus simvastatin 20 mg/day</td>
<td>58.8</td>
<td>9,270 participants, including 3000 receiving hemodialysis</td>
<td>ESRD, major atherosclerotic events</td>
<td>17% reduction in major atherosclerotic events No difference of progression to ESRD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

be recommended that a low dose is started with close monitoring or in patients with a high lipid level, the combination of statin + ezetimibe can be considered.

In the study by Molnar et al, statin use in major elective surgery have been decreased the incidence of acute renal failure. And this decrease has been more noticeable of low-potency statins. The use of statins after major abdominal, cardiac, thoracic, and vascular surgery have been shown to decrease the development of acute kidney damage.

**PROTECTIVE EFFECTS of STATINS AGAINST CONTRAST-INDUCED NEPHROPATHY**

Contrast-induced nephropathy (CIN) increased serum creatinine > 0.5 mg/dL (or 25%) within 24-48 h after administration of the contrast agent. There are two main mechanisms in the pathogenesis of CIN: (a) the direct cytotoxic effect of the contrast agent and (b) renal medullary hypoxia resulting in vasoconstriction (Table 3). According to this hypothesis; various mediators are released after exposure to contrast agents. Developing vasoconstriction of renal arteries, impairment of vasodilation, and reduction of medullary blood flow is due to the reduction of NO production and the effect of these mediators (angiotensin, vasopressin, endothelin). In addition, free oxygen radicals, proinflammatory cytokines, and dependent complement activity cause tubular damage. When protein precipitate exists, it accumulates in the tubules and they become obstructed.

The lipid-lowering effect of statins also causes down regulation of angiotensin receptors in the endothelium and reduction in the synthesis of endothelin, causing vasodilatation. Statins rapidly increase NO levels and bioavailability and show an improvement on endothelial dysfunction. In addition, statins prevent the occurrence of contrast-induced nephropathy by antioxidant and anti-inflammatory effects. An antioxidant effect is known to occur within 24 h after initiation of statin therapy. Statins inhibit the formation of proinflammatory cytokines and decrease inflammation. In addition, statins decrease the production of reactive oxygen radicals. The physiological effects of statins in achieving and maintaining adequate renal perfusion occur by enabling the formation of contrast nephropathy and is thought to exert inhibitory effects.

In animal studies, the effects of statins in preventing the development of CIN have been shown to improve endothelial function and prevent ischemic nephropathy by antioxidant effects. Renal hypoperfusion occurs when contrast exposure causes angiotensin receptor down regulation and decreased levels of endothelin. The study by Al-Otaibi KE et al. proved that simvastatin sorts oxidative stress; proinflammatory myeloperoxidase and NO. Cao S et al. found that atorvastatin prevents the development of oxidative stress, which leads to the prevention of CIN. In addition, Han et al. have shown that rosuvastatin prevents the development of CIN in patients with diabetes and chronic kidney disease. Current studies have provided additional data on atorvastatin. The study by Kaya A et al. proved that atorvastatin 80 mg and rosuvastatin 40 mg have a similar effect in preventing CIN.

The implementation of high-dose statin before diagnostic catheterization has been shown to decrease the incidence of CIN and should be considered as an additional preventive measure in patients without contraindications.

**CONCLUSION**

Many studies have shown the substantial benefits of statin therapy in patients with cardiovascular disease. Although it has been said in several investigations that rosuvastatin could cause renal damage, there are other studies and meta-analyses that have reported that statins do not increase renal damage, and some statins, particularly atorvastatin, could even be beneficial in renal damage. We believe that this assumption should be confirmed or refuted by randomized and prospective studies with large patient groups.
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